RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

AI IN EDUCATION: Personalised Learning Systems and the Future of Smart Classrooms

Shyoji Ram Saini*, Ajay Saini**, Ankit pal**, Hemraj Saini**, Satyam Upadhyay**

*Assistant Professor, Global Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

**B.Tech Student, Department of Information Technology, Global Institute of Technology, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT

Education has always been the cornerstone of societal progress, yet one of its most persistent shortcomings has been its one-size fits-all approach — treating every learner as though they learn at the same pace, through the same methods, and with the same prior knowledge. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is now challenging that assumption in fundamental ways. This paper examines how AI-driven personalised learning systems are reshaping education by adapting instructional content, pace, and feedback to the unique needs of each student. Drawing on research in adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring systems, learning analytics, and natural language processing, the study explores the technologies underlying these platforms, evaluates their real-world effectiveness, and critically assesses the challenges of bias, accessibility, data privacy, and the irreplaceable role of the human teacher. The paper argues that AI holds genuine transformative potential for education particularly in a country like India, where scale and resource constraints make personalised human instruction difficult but only when deployed thoughtfully, equitably, and in genuine partnership with educators.

***Keywords* —** Artificial Intelligence, Personalised Learning, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, Adaptive Learning, Learning Analytics, EdTech, Natural Language Processing, Smart Classrooms.

1. Introduction

Walk into a classroom of forty students anywhere in India and you will find forty entirely different learners. Some grasp a new concept the first time it is explained; others need it illustrated visually, or heard aloud, or practised repeatedly before it settles. Some arrive with strong foundational knowledge; others carry gaps from years of disrupted schooling. A single teacher, however skilled and dedicated, cannot simultaneously attend to all forty in the way each one needs. This is not a failure of teachers it is a structural limitation of how mass education has been organised for the past two centuries.

Artificial Intelligence offers, for the first time in history, a technically feasible path around this limitation. AI-powered personalised learning systems can track what each student knows, identify where they are struggling, predict what they are likely to find difficult next, and adjust the content, difficulty, and style of instruction accordingly all in real time, and at the scale of millions of students simultaneously. This is not science fiction. Platforms like Khan Academy, Duolingo, Carnegie Learning, and Byju's are already deploying these capabilities to hundreds of millions of learners worldwide.

This paper sets out to answer four questions: What does AI-driven personalised learning actually look like in practice? What technologies power it? What evidence exists for its effectiveness? And what are the risks and limitations that must be honestly addressed before these systems can be trusted as partners in education? The goal is not to offer uncritical enthusiasm for AI in classrooms, but to provide a grounded, evidence-based examination of what is genuinely possible, what remains uncertain, and what responsible implementation requires.

2. Background and Theoretical Foundation

2.1 The Problem with Traditional One Size-Fits-All Teaching

The conventional classroom model standardised curriculum, fixed pacing, uniform assessment was designed for efficiency, not optimisation. It works well enough for students who happen to align with the assumed average learner, but research in educational psychology has consistently demonstrated that learners differ significantly in prior knowledge, working memory capacity, cognitive style, motivation, and the rate at which they consolidate new information. Bloom's 2- Sigma Problem, articulated by educational psychologist Benjamin Bloom in 1984, remains one of the most cited findings in education research: students who receive one-on-one tutoring perform two standard deviations better than those in conventional classrooms. The problem has always been that individual human tutoring at scale is economically impossible. AI changes that calculus.

2.2 The Emergence of Personalised Learning Technology

Early attempts at computer-assisted learning in the 1970s and 1980s were rigid and scripted branching decision trees that offered limited paths through content. The shift toward genuinely adaptive systems began in the 1990s with the development of Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS), which used knowledge representations of both the subject matter and the learner's understanding to provide more nuanced guidance. Systems like LISP Tutor and Cognitive Tutor, developed at Carnegie Mellon University, demonstrated measurable learning gains over traditional instruction in controlled studies.

The modern generation of AI-powered personalised learning platforms goes considerably further. They incorporate machine learning models trained on millions of student interactions, natural language processing for open-ended input, computer vision for engagement detection, and sophisticated learner modelling that tracks not just what a student answered but how long they hesitated, how often they reviewed, and what patterns predict future difficulty.

3. Core AI Technologies in Personalised Learning

3.1 Adaptive Learning Engines At the heart of most personalised learning platforms is an adaptive learning engine an algorithm that continuously updates a model of each student's knowledge state and selects the next piece of content accordingly. These engines typically use one of two approaches. Item Response Theory (IRT), borrowed from psychometrics, models the probability that a student of a given ability will answer a question of a given difficulty correctly, and uses this to infer latent ability from response patterns. More recently, deep learning models particularly those based on knowledge tracing use recurrent neural networks to model how a student's mastery of a concept evolves over a sequence of practice

attempts, capturing forgetting curves and the effect of spaced repetition.

3.2 Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) An Intelligent Tutoring System goes beyond adaptive content selection to provide step-by step instructional guidance, hint sequences, and error diagnosis. A well-designed ITS models the domain (the structure of the knowledge to be learned), the student (their current understanding and likely misconceptions), and the pedagogy (strategies for explaining and correcting). Carnegie Learning's MATHia platform, one of the most extensively researched ITS in the world, has been shown in multiple randomised controlled trials to produce significant learning gains in middle and high school mathematics compared to traditional textbook instruction.

3.3 Natural Language Processing for Student Interaction

Natural Language Processing (NLP) enables AI systems to engage with students through written or spoken language — answering questions, evaluating open-ended responses, and providing feedback on essays. Large language models, including those in the GPT family, have dramatically expanded what is possible here. Educational platforms are now experimenting with AI tutors that can hold

extended Socratic dialogues with students, probe their reasoning, identify conceptual gaps in free-text explanations, and provide targeted, personalised feedback on written work at a quality previously requiring a trained human teacher.

3.4 Learning Analytics and Predictive Modelling

Learning analytics refers to the measurement, collection, and analysis of data about learners with the goal of understanding and optimising learning. AI models trained on historical learning data can predict which students are at risk of falling behind, which are likely to disengage, and which would benefit from a different instructional approach. Early alert systems in universities such as those deployed by Georgia State University in the United States use predictive analytics to identify at-risk students and trigger proactive advisor interventions, and have been credited with significantly reducing dropout rates among first-generation college students.

3.5 Computer Vision and Engagement Detection

An emerging line of research applies computer vision analysing webcam feeds in real time to detect student engagement, confusion, boredom, and frustration from facial expressions, gaze direction, and posture. While still largely in the research phase and carrying significant ethical complexity around privacy and consent, these systems aim to close the feedback loop that human teachers use intuitively: noticing when a student looks lost and adjusting their approach accordingly. Platforms in China and South Korea have piloted such systems in school classrooms, though their deployment has attracted considerable public debate.

4. Real-World Applications and Case Studies

4.1 Khan Academy and Khanmigo Khan Academy, founded in 2008, began as a library of instructional videos but has evolved into a sophisticated adaptive learning platform serving over 150 million registered users globally. Its exercise engine tracks student performance at the level of individual skills and uses mastery-based progression students cannot advance until they demonstrate consistent understanding of prerequisite concepts. In 2023, Khan Academy launched Khanmigo, an AI tutoring assistant built on large language model technology, designed to answer student questions through guided inquiry rather than direct answers prompting

students to reason rather than simply providing solutions.

4.2 Duolingo and Language Learning Duolingo, with over 500 million users, represents one of the most successful deployments of AI personalisation in consumer education. Its adaptive algorithm, known as the Half-Life Regression model, personalises the spacing of review exercises based on each user's individual forgetting curve for each vocabulary item — determining precisely when each word needs to be reviewed to prevent it being forgotten. A study published by Duolingo researchers found that 34 hours of Duolingo use produced Spanish learning outcomes comparable to a full university semester, attributing much of this efficiency to the personalised spacing algorithm.

4.3 Byju's and the Indian EdTech Landscape

India's EdTech sector has grown explosively, with Byju's at its centre. The platform uses AI to adapt lesson difficulty, recommend content, and track learning patterns across its more than 100 million registered users. While independent rigorous evaluation of Byju's learning outcomes has been limited and the company has faced business difficulties in recent years, its scale illustrates the enormous

appetite for personalised digital education in a country where the student-to-teacher ratio in government schools frequently exceeds 40:1.

4.4 Carnegie Learning's MATHia MATHia is arguably the most rigorously evaluated AI tutoring platform in existence. Based on two decades of cognitive science research at Carnegie Mellon University, it models student thinking at the level of individual cognitive steps and provides targeted hints and feedback at each step. A large-scale randomised controlled trial involving over 9,000 students across 47 US schools found that MATHia users significantly outperformed control groups on standardised mathematics assessments by the end of the academic year, with the largest gains observed among students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds.

5. Challenges, Limitations, and Ethical Concerns

5.1 The Digital Divide and Access Inequality

Perhaps the most fundamental challenge facing AI-powered education is that it requires reliable internet connectivity, functioning hardware, and digital literacy resources that remain deeply unequally distributed. In rural India, where over 65% of the population resides, internet penetration remains inconsistent, device ownership is limited, and electricity supply is unreliable. A personalised learning system that functions beautifully on a high-speed broadband connection in a Bengaluru apartment is simply inaccessible to a student in a village in Bihar or Jharkhand. If not deliberately designed for low-bandwidth, low-cost deployment, AI education tools risk exacerbating rather than reducing educational inequality.

5.2 Algorithmic Bias in Educational AI AI systems learn from historical data, and if that data reflects existing inequalities — as educational data invariably does — the systems risk perpetuating and amplifying those inequalities. A model trained predominantly on data from urban, English medium schools may perform poorly when applied to students from rural backgrounds, different linguistic contexts, or non-standard educational pathways. Adaptive systems may incorrectly classify a student as low-ability when they are simply unfamiliar with the cultural context of the content, and then lock them into a remedial track that constrains rather than develops their potential.

5.3 Data Privacy and the Surveillance of Children

Personalised learning systems accumulate extraordinarily detailed profiles of children's cognitive abilities, learning difficulties, emotional responses, and behavioural patterns — often over years of interaction. This data is enormously valuable commercially, which creates troubling incentives. The collection of such data from minors requires robust legal frameworks, transparent consent processes, and strict limitations on secondary use. In India, the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 introduces important protections, but enforcement mechanisms and their application to EdTech platforms are still being developed. Parents and educators must understand what data is being collected, who has access to it, and how long it is retained.

5.4 Over-Reliance on AI and the Diminishing of Human Teaching

There is a real risk that enthusiasm for AI solutions leads to the devaluation of human teachers particularly in cost-constrained public education systems looking to reduce spending. Teaching is not merely the transmission of information; it involves mentorship, emotional attunement, moral example, and the cultivation of social skills that no AI system currently replicates. Research consistently shows that the quality of the teacher-student relationship is one of the strongest predictors of educational outcomes. AI tools should augment teachers freeing them from administrative burden and giving them richer data about each student not replace them.

5.5 Student Autonomy, Motivation, and Gaming the System

Adaptive systems that optimise for measurable metrics quiz scores, completion rates, time-on-task — can inadvertently incentivise surface-level performance rather than deep learning. Students quickly learn to game systems: clicking through content quickly, using trial and-error rather than reasoning, or exploiting hint systems. Intrinsic motivation the genuine desire to understand and grow is difficult to measure and even more difficult to cultivate through an algorithm. The risk is that personalised AI learning, if poorly designed, produces students who are proficient at interacting with the system rather than genuinely knowledgeable about the subject.

6. Future Directions

The next decade of AI in education is likely to be shaped by several converging developments. Large language models are becoming capable enough to engage in genuinely pedagogically rich dialogues asking questions, providing worked examples, and adapting explanations to the student's expressed level of understanding in ways that begin to approximate skilled human tutoring. As these models become cheaper and more accessible, the possibility of every student having a patient, knowledgeable, always-available AI tutor becomes increasingly realistic.

Multimodal Assessment: Future systems will move beyond text-based interaction to assess understanding through voice, drawing, gesture, and physical demonstration enabling richer and more authentic evaluation of learning, particularly for younger students and those with reading difficulties.

Emotionally Intelligent Systems: Research in affective computing aims to build systems that can recognise and respond to a student's emotional state detecting frustration, boredom, or anxiety and adapting not just the content but the tone and pacing of instruction in response.

AI for Teacher Support: Rather than positioning AI as a replacement for teachers, the most promising near-term applications may be tools that give teachers real-time dashboards of student understanding, automated identification of students who need attention, and AI-generated suggestions for differentiated instruction.

Regional Language AI for India: The development of high-quality AI educational tools in Hindi, Tamil, Telugu, Bengali, Marathi, and other Indian languages is an urgent priority. The current dominance of English-language platforms excludes the majority of India's student population and represents both an equity failure and an enormous unrealized opportunity.

7. Conclusion

The promise of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education is both substantial and increasingly evident in practice. Adaptive learning systems, early identification of struggling students, and on-demand instructional support are no longer theoretical concepts; they are already being implemented and benefiting millions of learners worldwide. In a country such as India, characterized by immense linguistic, cultural, and educational diversity, as well as a persistent shortage of qualified teachers, AI-enabled educational technologies have the potential to significantly improve access, personalization, and learning outcomes.

However, the risks associated with AI in education are equally significant. If poorly designed or inadequately regulated, AI systems may widen the digital divide, reinforce existing biases, compromise student privacy, or reduce the role of teachers to mere facilitators. Such outcomes would undermine the very objective of educational progress. Therefore, the central issue is not whether AI should be used in education, but rather how it should be governed, developed, and deployed responsibly. This study concludes that AI should function as a tool that empowers students and teachers rather than replacing or exploiting them. Effective educational AI systems must be built on diverse and representative data, operate with transparency, and clearly communicate their capabilities and limitations. They should be accessible to learners across different socio-economic backgrounds and geographical regions, ensuring that technological advancement does not exclude those who need educational support the most. Ultimately, while AI can enhance instruction, assessment, and learner support, it cannot replace the uniquely human qualities that define effective teaching: empathy, encouragement, ethical judgment, and the ability to inspire confidence in a student's potential. The future of education, therefore, lies not in replacing teachers with machines, but in creating a balanced partnership in which AI amplifies human teaching and helps every learner achieve their full potential.

References

[1] B. S. Bloom, "The 2-sigma problem: The search for methods of group instruction as effective as one-to-one tutoring," Educational Researcher, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 4–16, 1984.

[2] A. T. Corbett and J. R. Anderson, "Knowledge tracing: Modelling the acquisition of procedural knowledge," User Modeling and User-Adapted Interaction, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 253–278, 1994.

[3] K. R. Koedinger, J. R. Anderson, W. H. Hadley, and M. A. Mark, "Intelligent tutoring goes to school in the big city," International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, vol. 8, pp. 30–43, 1997.

[4] B. Settles and B. Meeder, "A trainable spaced repetition model for language learning," in Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL), pp. 1848–1858, 2016.

[5] J. F. Pane, E. D. Steiner, M. D. Baird, L. S. Hamilton, and J. Pott, Informing Progress: Insights on Personalised Learning Implementation and Effects. Santa Monica, CA, USA: RAND Corporation, 2017.

[6] K. VanLehn, "The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems," Educational Psychologist, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 197–221, 2011.

[7] R. S. Baker and P. S. Inventado, "Educational data mining and learning analytics," in Learning Analytics, J. A. Larusson and B. White, Eds. New York, NY, USA: Springer, pp. 61–75, 2014.

[8] R. Luckin, W. Holmes, M. Griffiths, and L. B. Forcier, Intelligence Unleashed: An Argument for AI in Education. London, U.K.: Pearson Education, 2016.

[9] I. Roll and R. Wylie, "Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education," International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 582–599, 2016.

[10] UNESCO, Guidance for Generative AI in Education and Research. Paris, France: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 2023.

[11] M. K. Sain and N. Sharma, "A study of research issues and challenges of big data analytics," Journal of Advances and Scholarly Researches in Allied Education, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1699–1707, 2019.

[12] S. Pachauri, D. Sharma, and R. Misra, "Role of computer education in Indian schools," International Journal of Recent Research and Review, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 15–18, 2022.

[13] M. K. Jha, G. K. Soni, G. Jain, S. Tiwari, K. Gupta, and B. Singhal, "Comparative analysis of classical machine learning models for Twitter sentiment classification," in Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Communication, Computing and Electronics Systems (ICCCES), pp. 1949–1954, 2026.

[14] B. Jain, G. Soni, S. Thapar, and M. Rao, "A review on routing protocol of MANET with its characteristics, applications and issues," International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1–10, 2022.

[15] A. Jangir, A. Agrawal, C. Sharma, G. K. Soni, R. Ajmera, and A. Johari, "Comparative performance analysis of deep learning and traditional algorithms for facial recognition and image classification," in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), pp. 1172–1175, 2025.

[16] S. A. Saiyed, N. Sharma, H. Kaushik, P. Jain,

G. K. Soni, and R. Joshi, "Transforming portfolio management with AI and ML: Shaping investor perceptions and the future of the Indian investment sector," in Proceedings of the Parul University International Conference on Engineering and Technology (PiCET), pp. 1108–1114, 2025.

[17] I. Yadav, V. Shekhawat, K. Gautam, G. K. Soni, and R. Yadav, "Artificial intelligence for cybersecurity: Emerging techniques, challenges, and future trends," in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Sustainable Computing and Data Communication Systems (ICSCDS), pp. 1176–1180, 2025.

[18] P. Jha, G. K. Soni, H. Dogra, D. Goswami, K. Choudhary, and H. Vaishnav, "Plant disease detection and classification using convolutional neural network," in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), pp. 1442–1446, 2025.

[19] K. Paliwal, P. Jha, S. Kumari, V. Vaish, N. Vishwakarma, and A. Bansal, "Machine learning in electric vehicle consumption modelling," in Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Intelligent Computing and Control Systems (ICICCS), pp. 727–730, 2026.

[20] P. Jha, P. Jain, A. Kumar, S. Soni, Y. Sharma, and P. Agarwal, "The application of Markov chains to linguistic predictions by utilising its inherent information entropy," in Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Inventive Systems and Control (ICISC), pp. 1110–1114, 2025.

[21] P. Jha, M. Mathur, A. Purohit, A. Joshi, and A. Johari, "LibUno: A React-based digital platform for smart library management," International Journal of Global Research in Science and Technology (IJGRST), vol. 9, pp. 38–51, 2024.

[22] K. Ahuja, H. Sekhawat, S. Mishra, and P. Jha, "Machine learning in artificial intelligence: Towards a common understanding," Turkish Online Journal of Qualitative Inquiry, vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 1–10, 2021.

[23] M. K. Jha, K. Kumar, N. Hemrajani, D. S. Rao, A. Goyal, and R. Ajmera, "AI powered student performance prediction using explainable ML," in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), pp. 1140–1144, 2025.