

Instrumental Perspectivism in Artificial Intelligence: Evaluating Deep Learning Algorithms Through Comparison with NMR Spectroscopy

Neeraj Sharma*, Rahul Misra**

*School of Computer Application, JECRC University, Jaipur, India

**Department of Engineering and Technology, Jagannath University, Jaipur, India

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly deep learning algorithms, is increasingly being used to support scientific discovery, prediction, and decision-making across multiple disciplines. This raises important questions regarding the reliability and epistemic role of AI systems in scientific research. This paper examines whether deep learning algorithms can be understood as scientific instruments in a manner similar to established detection technologies such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Using the philosophical framework of instrumental perspectivism, a comparative analysis is conducted between the reliability standards applied to NMR spectroscopy for protein structure prediction and those applicable to AI-based predictive models. The study further explores the concepts of model pluralism and perspectivism, emphasizing that scientific models are inherently partial representations of reality and should be evaluated according to their intended purposes rather than their completeness. By analyzing the similarities and differences between AI algorithms and scientific detection instruments, the paper highlights the importance of explanatory integration, methodological rigor, and reliability assessment in scientific inquiry. The findings suggest that deep learning systems can be viewed as instrumental tools that extend human scientific cognition, provided that appropriate standards of validation, transparency, and reliability are maintained. The study contributes to ongoing discussions regarding the role of AI in scientific knowledge generation and the future integration of computational and experimental approaches in research.

Keywords — Artificial Intelligence, Deep Learning, Instrumental Perspectivism, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, Scientific Instruments, Reliability Assessment, Model Pluralism, Scientific Modeling, Protein Structure Prediction, Explainable AI, Scientific Epistemology, Computational Science.

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly deep learning technologies, has significantly transformed scientific research across numerous disciplines, including healthcare, bioinformatics, chemistry, astronomy, and environmental sciences [1]. Deep learning algorithms are increasingly being employed to analyze complex datasets, identify hidden patterns, generate predictions, and support scientific decision-making processes [2], [3]. As these computational systems become more sophisticated and

influential, an important philosophical and methodological question emerges: should AI-based learning systems be regarded merely as computational tools, or can they be understood as scientific instruments that contribute to the generation of scientific knowledge [4]. Historically, scientific progress has been facilitated by the development of instruments that extend human observational and analytical capabilities. Technologies such as microscopes, telescopes, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy have enabled scientists to

investigate phenomena that are otherwise inaccessible through direct human observation [5], [6]. These instruments are not valued solely for their technical capabilities but also for their reliability, accuracy, and ability to produce scientifically meaningful representations of reality. Their outputs are evaluated through rigorous validation procedures and are integrated into broader scientific practices to support explanation, prediction, and discovery [8].

In recent years, deep learning algorithms have demonstrated remarkable success in solving complex scientific problems, including protein structure prediction, disease diagnosis, image recognition, natural language processing, and drug discovery. Notable developments such as AlphaFold have illustrated the potential of AI systems to generate highly accurate predictions that were previously achievable only through extensive experimental investigation [9], [10]. Consequently, AI systems are increasingly functioning as knowledge-generating tools rather than merely computational aids. This development raises fundamental questions regarding the epistemic status of AI-generated knowledge and the criteria by which the reliability of such systems should be assessed [11].

One useful framework for addressing these questions is instrumental perspectivism, a philosophical approach that emphasizes the role of scientific instruments in shaping human understanding of the world. According to this perspective, scientific knowledge is mediated through instruments and models that provide partial yet valuable representations of reality. No instrument or model can capture every aspect of a phenomenon; instead, each offers a specific perspective that contributes to scientific understanding. This view aligns closely with the concepts of model pluralism and perspectivism, which argue that multiple

models and representations are often necessary to explain complex phenomena adequately.

Scientific models are inherently incomplete because they are designed to serve particular purposes, such as explanation, prediction, classification, or visualization. Rather than striving for perfectly comprehensive models, scientists typically develop models that effectively address specific research objectives. Different models may emphasize different aspects of the same phenomenon, resulting in multiple valid perspectives. The acceptance of such diversity in scientific representation has become increasingly important in contemporary scientific practice, where complex systems often require the integration of insights from multiple methodologies.

Within this context, the comparison between deep learning algorithms and established scientific instruments such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy becomes particularly significant. NMR spectroscopy has long been recognized as a reliable experimental technique for determining molecular and protein structures through the analysis of atomic interactions. Its scientific credibility has been established through standardized validation procedures, reproducibility, and extensive empirical testing. Similarly, AI-based deep learning models are evaluated through performance metrics, validation datasets, robustness assessments, and predictive accuracy. Investigating whether these computational systems can be assessed using standards analogous to those applied to traditional scientific instruments offers valuable insights into their role within scientific inquiry. This study examines the relationship between deep learning algorithms and NMR spectroscopy through the lens of instrumental perspectivism. It explores whether AI systems can be regarded as scientific instruments that extend human cognitive capabilities and

contribute to knowledge generation. The paper further investigates how concepts such as model pluralism, perspectivism, reliability assessment, and explanatory integration can be applied to the evaluation of AI technologies. By comparing the epistemic functions of deep learning models and NMR spectroscopy, the study seeks to develop a deeper understanding of the reliability, limitations, and scientific significance of AI-based predictive systems.

The primary objectives of this research are: (i) to analyze the philosophical foundations of instrumental perspectivism in relation to Artificial Intelligence; (ii) to compare the reliability assessment frameworks used for deep learning algorithms and NMR spectroscopy; (iii) to examine the roles of model pluralism and perspectivism in scientific modeling; and (iv) to evaluate the extent to which AI technologies can be considered legitimate scientific instruments. Through this investigation, the paper contributes to ongoing discussions concerning the integration of AI into scientific research and the evolving nature of scientific knowledge production in the age of intelligent computational systems.

2. Research Methodology

The primary focus of this investigation lies in exploring whether novel learning technologies, specifically deep learning algorithms within the domain of Artificial Intelligence (AI), can be regarded in an instrumental capacity akin to detection instruments like nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy when forecasting models of protein atomic structure. To accomplish this objective, an in-depth comparative analysis is conducted between the standards utilized to evaluate the dependability of NMR spectroscopy in predicting protein atomic structures and the reliability benchmarks applicable to AI deep learning algorithms. Furthermore, extensive discourse is devoted to the concepts of model pluralism and

perspectivism within the realm of scientific modeling. Emphasis is placed on acknowledging the inherent partiality of scientific models and advocating for the adoption of multiple models to effectively address scientific objectives. Additionally, the study underscores the significance of explanatory integration in elucidating the interconnections among multiple models representing the same phenomenon. By highlighting the necessity of integrating explanations across various scientific models, the investigation aims to provide a holistic framework for analyzing and interpreting scientific data and hypotheses.

3. Key Features of AR-Mob

The comparison between AI deep learning algorithms and detection instruments like NMR spectroscopy in predicting protein atomic structures stands as an interdisciplinary inquiry at the forefront of contemporary scientific exploration. This area of study merges insights from computer science, biology, and analytical chemistry to tackle foundational inquiries in structural biology. At its heart lies the evaluation of the dependability and precision of protein structure predictions, with AI algorithms offering computational forecasts and NMR spectroscopy furnishing experimental data. This comparison highlights the necessity for recognizing the limitations and perspectives inherent in different methodologies, advocating for a diverse array of models to address the complexity of the subject matter. Additionally, it underscores the significance of integrating insights from multiple models to attain a holistic understanding of protein structures. Methodological rigor is crucial in navigating this intricate terrain, with implications that reverberate across the landscape of scientific research in structural biology and bioinformatics. Beyond scientific discourse, ethical and societal considerations emerge,

prompting reflection on the role of AI technology in scientific inquiry and its ramifications for the scientific community. Thus, the juxtaposition of AI deep learning algorithms and NMR spectroscopy in predicting protein structures not only advances our comprehension of molecular biology but also fosters contemplation on the evolving ethos of scientific practice and inquiry.

4. Expected Benefits of AR-Mob

The anticipated benefits of implementing AR-Mob in police training are manifold and hold the potential to profoundly transform law enforcement practices. Foremost among these benefits is the heightened level of officer readiness facilitated by AR-Mob's immersive and realistic training scenarios. By engaging officers in lifelike simulations that mirror real-world situations, AR-Mob equips them with practical skills, enhances situational awareness, and fosters the ability to respond effectively to a diverse range of law enforcement challenges. This translates into a more prepared and capable police force, better equipped to navigate dynamic and high-pressure situations with confidence and precision, ultimately leading to safer communities and reduced risk of injuries.

Additionally, AR-Mob's personalized performance analysis and feedback mechanisms offer individual officers targeted insights into their strengths and areas for improvement. By tailoring training experiences to address specific needs and skill gaps, AR-Mob accelerates skill development and enhances competency levels among officers. This personalized approach not only maximizes the effectiveness of training efforts but also cultivates a culture of continuous learning and professional growth within law enforcement agencies, contributing to the ongoing

refinement of officer capabilities and the optimization of performance outcomes.

Furthermore, AR-Mob's immersive training scenarios serve as invaluable platforms for honing decision-making skills under realistic conditions. By simulating high-stakes situations and providing opportunities for officers to make critical decisions in a controlled environment, AR-Mob helps fortify their ability to assess risks, prioritize actions, and respond decisively. This translates into improved decision-making capabilities in the field, where split-second judgments can have significant implications for public safety and officer well-being, thereby enhancing overall operational effectiveness and bolstering community trust in law enforcement agencies.

Moreover, the cost-effectiveness and scalability of AR-Mob represent significant advantages for police departments seeking to optimize their training resources. By leveraging virtual simulations and cloud-based infrastructure, AR-Mob minimizes the need for expensive physical resources and logistical support, resulting in substantial cost savings over traditional training methods. This enables police departments to allocate resources more efficiently, potentially reallocating funds to other critical areas of need while ensuring that training remains accessible, adaptable, and sustainable in the face of evolving operational demands and budgetary constraints.

In summary, the expected benefits of AR-Mob encompass enhanced officer readiness, personalized skill development, improved decision-making capabilities, cost savings, and scalability. By revolutionizing police training through immersive simulations, personalized feedback, and scalable technology, AR-Mob has the potential to elevate the capabilities of law enforcement agencies and contribute to

safer communities, thereby fulfilling its promise as a transformative tool in the pursuit of effective and accountable policing.

5. Next Steps of AR-Mob

Looking forward, the trajectory of "Instrumental Perspectivism: Is AI Machine Learning Technology Like NMR Spectroscopy?" anticipates significant strides in scientific inquiry. The forthcoming exploration will likely revolve around seamlessly integrating AI machine learning algorithms with NMR spectroscopy techniques, particularly within the domain of protein structure prediction. This synergy could birth hybrid methodologies that harness the unique strengths of both technologies, thereby amplifying the precision and dependability of structural forecasts. With the ongoing evolution of AI algorithms towards greater sophistication, their adeptness in managing intricate datasets and aligning closely with experimental data, including NMR spectroscopy findings, is expected to improve markedly. Ethical dimensions will persist as a central concern, necessitating continuous examination of issues like safeguarding data privacy, addressing biases, and ensuring the judicious application of automation. Collaboration across disciplines, spanning computer science, biology, chemistry, and ethics, will emerge as a linchpin for propelling advancements and fostering innovation. Moreover, endeavors to standardize evaluation protocols and metrics for validating AI models against conventional experimental methods will fortify the credibility and efficacy of these approaches in scientific inquiry. In essence, the outlook for this realm portends a realm of possibilities, where the harmonious amalgamation of AI machine learning technology and NMR spectroscopy holds immense potential for advancing scientific understanding and technological breakthroughs.

6. Conclusion

This paper examined the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI), particularly deep learning algorithms, through the philosophical framework of instrumental perspectivism and explored whether such systems can be understood as scientific instruments comparable to established technologies such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The study highlighted that both AI models and scientific instruments serve as mediators between observed phenomena and scientific understanding, enabling researchers to generate predictions, explanations, and new insights that may not be directly accessible through human observation alone. The comparative analysis demonstrated that, despite their methodological differences, deep learning algorithms and NMR spectroscopy share several important characteristics. Both rely on data-driven processes, require rigorous validation procedures, and must satisfy standards of reliability before their outputs can be accepted within scientific practice. While NMR spectroscopy derives its credibility from experimental observation and reproducibility, AI systems establish reliability through training, testing, performance evaluation, and continuous refinement using large datasets. These similarities suggest that AI technologies can increasingly be viewed as instrumental tools that contribute to scientific investigation and knowledge production. The study also emphasized the significance of model pluralism and perspectivism in contemporary scientific research. Scientific models are inherently partial representations of reality and cannot capture every aspect of the phenomena they describe. Consequently, no single model should be regarded as a complete or final representation of scientific truth. Instead, multiple models and perspectives should be

integrated to address different scientific objectives and provide a more comprehensive understanding of complex systems. In this context, AI models should be evaluated according to their intended purposes, predictive capabilities, explanatory value, and consistency with empirical evidence rather than unrealistic expectations of completeness or perfection. Furthermore, the concept of explanatory integration was identified as a critical component of scientific inquiry. The combination of computational approaches such as deep learning with experimental methods such as NMR spectroscopy has the potential to strengthen scientific reasoning by leveraging complementary sources of evidence. Such integration can improve predictive accuracy, enhance interpretability, and contribute to more robust scientific conclusions.

In conclusion, deep learning algorithms can be regarded as emerging scientific instruments that extend human cognitive and analytical capabilities when supported by appropriate standards of transparency, validation, and reliability assessment. The framework of instrumental perspectivism provides a valuable philosophical foundation for understanding the epistemic role of AI in modern science. As AI technologies continue to evolve and become more deeply integrated into scientific workflows, future research should focus on developing standardized evaluation frameworks, improving interpretability, addressing ethical concerns, and fostering collaboration between computational and experimental disciplines. Through such efforts, AI can become an increasingly reliable and meaningful contributor to scientific discovery and knowledge generation.

7. References

- [1] N. Soni and N. Nigam, "Recent Advances in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning: Trends, Challenges, and Future Directions," *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Applications (IJETA)*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 9–12, 2025.
- [2] A. Gautam, R. Ajmera, D. K. Dharamdasani, S. Srivastava, and A. Johari, "Improving Climate Change Predictions Using Time Series Analysis and Deep Learning," *Global and Stochastic Analysis*, vol. 12, no. 4, 2025.
- [3] A. Maheshwari, R. Ajmera, and D. K. Dharamdasani, "Unmasking Embedded Text: A Deep Dive into Scene Image Analysis," in *Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Advances in Computation, Communication and Information Technology (ICAICIT)*, pp. 1403–1408, 2023.
- [4] R. Ajmera, A. Johari, A. Goyal, A. Purohit, A. Kumar, and J. A. Ashok, "Multilingual Sentiment Analysis Based on Fine-Tuned Transformer Architectures," in *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Communication, Computing and Electronics Systems (ICCCES)*, pp. 1589–1592, 2026.
- [5] H. Kaushik, I. Yadav, R. Yadav, N. Sharma, P. K. Sharma, and A. Biswas, "Brain Tumor Detection and Classification Using Deep Learning Techniques and MRI Imaging," in *Proceedings of the Parul University International Conference on Engineering and Technology (PiCET 2025)*, pp. 1453–1457, 2025.
- [6] R. Misra and S. Vashistha, "A Review on Classification of Brain Tumor by Deep Learning Using Convolutional Neural Network," *International Journal*

- of Engineering Trends and Applications (IJETA), vol. 11, no. 3, 2024.
- [7] R. Misra, N. Sharma, G. K. Soni, H. Arora, S. Chauhan, and A. Biswas, "A Hybrid and Ensemble Machine Learning Framework for Enhanced Brain Tumor Detection," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), pp. 1583–1588, 2026.
- [8] W. B. Johnson, D. H. Ballard, H. Harris, and R. McNay, "Training Neural Networks with Synthetic Data for Real World Applications," in Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPR Workshops), pp. 2732–2740, 2018.
- [9] R. Joshi and A. Maritammanavar, "Deep Learning Architectures and Applications: A Comprehensive Survey," in Proceedings of the International Conference on Recent Trends in Engineering and Technology (ICRTET 2023), pp. 1–5, 2023.
- [10] K. Gautam, G. K. Soni, R. Ajmera, N. Hemrajani, J. Ahuja, and M. K. Jha, "Deep Reinforcement Learning for Stock Market Portfolio Optimization," in Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Communication, Computing and Electronics Systems (ICCCES), pp. 1835–1839, 2026.
- [11] M. K. Jha, K. Kumar, N. Hemrajani, D. S. Rao, A. Goyal, and R. Ajmera, "AI Powered Student Performance Prediction Using Explainable Machine Learning," in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), pp. 1140–1144, 2025.
- [12] P. Jha, M. Mathur, A. Purohit, A. Joshi, A. Johari, and S. Mathur, "Enhancing Real Estate Market Predictions: A Machine Learning Approach to House Valuation," in Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Intelligent Data Communication Technologies and Internet of Things (IDCIoT), pp. 1930–1934, 2025.
- [13] A. Johari, R. Sharma, and A. Meena, "Advancements in Pre-Trained Language Models and Their Impact on Various Natural Language Processing Tasks," International Journal of Engineering Trends and Applications (IJETA), vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 201–209, 2024.
- [14] A. Jangir, A. Agrawal, C. Sharma, G. K. Soni, R. Ajmera, and A. Johari, "Comparative Performance Analysis of Deep Learning and Traditional Algorithms for Facial Recognition and Image Classification," in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Automation, Computing and Renewable Systems (ICACRS), pp. 1172–1175, 2025.
- [15] S. Srivastava and A. Johari, "Prediction of Road Crash Attributes and Exploring Imbalance Learning Methods," AIJR Abstracts, 2024.
- [16] A. Gautam, R. Ajmera, D. K. Dharamdasani, S. Srivastava, and A. Johari, "Improving Climate Change Predictions Using Time Series Analysis and Deep Learning," Global and Stochastic Analysis, vol. 12, no. 4, 2025.
- [17] M. Anderson and S. L. Anderson, "Machine Ethics: Creating an Ethical Intelligent Agent," AI and Society, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 251–257, 2010.

- [18] J. N. Bailenson, N. Yee, J. Blascovich, A. C. Beall, N. Lundblad, and M. Jin, “The Use of Immersive Virtual Reality in the Learning Sciences: Digital Transformations of Teachers, Students, and Social Context,” *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 102–141, 2008.

IJETA