RESEARCH ARTICLE OPEN ACCESS

Advances in Seismic Design for Flat Slabs: Addressing Vulnerabilities and Enhancing Performance

Tanay Joshi [1], Hemant Kumar Sain [2]

[1] Department of Civil Engineering, Arya College of Engineering & Research Centre, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India
[2] Department of Civil Engineering, Arya College of Engineering, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT

Flat slab systems are popular in modern construction for their design flexibility and efficient use of space. However, they face challenges in seismic zones, especially near fault lines, due to vulnerabilities in slab-column connections, increasing the risk of punching shear failure. This review explores strategies like adding drop panels, column heads, and shear walls to improve the seismic resilience of flat slabs. It also highlights the need to account for vertical seismic forces, often overlooked in design codes. Additionally, innovative solutions such as concrete-filled steel tube (CFST) columns and performance-based engineering are discussed for enhancing safety and sustainability. This work aims to support the development of earthquake-resistant flat slab systems in seismic-prone regions.

***Keywords* —** Multi Story, RC Frame, Shear wall, Earthquake, Lateral Forces.

I. INTRODUCTION

Flat slab systems have become a popular choice in modern construction due to their unique advantages. They offer architectural flexibility, making it easier to design open and versatile spaces without the need for deep beams. These systems are also simpler to construct, which reduces construction time and cost. Additionally, their design enables efficient use of materials and space, making them both practical and aesthetically appealing. However, despite these benefits, flat slabs face challenges when subjected to seismic forces, particularly in regions close to fault lines where the risk of earthquakes is high. These challenges raise significant concerns for structural engineers.

In seismic zones, the forces acting on a structure can originate from both horizontal and vertical ground motions. Horizontal seismic loads primarily cause lateral movement and inter-story drift, affecting the structure's stability against side-to-side shaking. In contrast, vertical seismic loads apply dynamic forces directly along the vertical axis, impacting load-bearing elements such as columns and slabs. Flat slab systems, which lack the additional support provided by deep beams, rely heavily on slab-column connections to bear the load. This makes them especially vulnerable to vertical seismic forces. The risk is even greater near active fault lines, where seismic waves are often stronger and more frequent, amplifying their impact on structures.

Fault lines are regions where tectonic plates meet, and they are a source of complex seismic activity. When an earthquake occurs near a fault line, it generates various types of seismic waves, including high-frequency vertical motions. These vertical waves pose unique challenges for flat slabs by disrupting load distribution and inducing stresses at slab-column connections. One major concern is the increased risk of punching shear failure, where the slab near a column connection could collapse due to the concentrated forces. Such failures threaten the overall stability of the structure, making it crucial to understand how flat slabs behave under these conditions.

This study focuses on the influence of fault lines on the seismic performance of flat slabs under vertical loading conditions. It seeks to investigate how proximity to fault lines affects the dynamic behavior of flat slabs, identify their vulnerabilities, and propose design improvements to enhance their resilience. By addressing these issues, the study aims to contribute to the development of safer and more reliable earthquake-resistant designs for flat slab systems, particularly in areas prone to seismic activity. Through a better understanding of these interactions, engineers can adopt advanced construction practices and ensure greater safety for buildings in fault-affected regions.

II. REINFORCED CONCRETE FLAT SLABS

The The reinforced concrete flat slab technique has become increasingly popular in residential and commercial construction due to its numerous advantages. Flat slabs offer a sleek and modern design that maximizes space utilization and aesthetic appeal. They eliminate the need for deep beams, resulting in a smooth, unobstructed ceiling, which allows for more height between the floor and the ceiling. This makes them ideal for applications where architectural flexibility and efficient construction are priorities.

Despite these benefits, the use of flat slabs in high seismic zones requires careful consideration of design and construction techniques to ensure their performance under dynamic loads. To better understand their applications and variations, it is essential to explore the different types of flat slabs.

III. TYPES OF FLAT SLABS

There Flat slabs are classified into four main types based on the presence or absence of drop panels and column heads. Each type has distinct characteristics, structural advantages, and areas of application.

1. Flat Slabs without Drop and Column Head

Flat slabs without drop and column head are designed without additional reinforcement or thickened sections at the column-slab connection points, making them simple and cost-effective. They are ideal for light loads and low-rise buildings, offering maximum architectural flexibility due to the absence of protrusions or extra thickness. These slabs are commonly used in small residential or commercial buildings with minimal load-bearing requirements and low seismic activity. However, their key limitation is their unsuitability for high-load applications or regions with significant seismic activity, as the lack of reinforcement increases the risk of punching shear failure at slab-column connections.

2. Flat Slabs with Column Head

Flat slabs with column heads feature columns with enlarged or flared heads at the junction of the slab and column, increasing the surface area at the slab-column connection and improving load distribution. This design reduces the risk of punching shear failure by strengthening the critical zone around the column, making it suitable for medium-load applications such as commercial buildings and parking structures. The inclusion of column heads enhances the strength of slab-column connections and allows the slab to handle greater loads compared to flat slabs without column heads.

3. Flat Slabs with Drop

Flat slabs with drop panels feature localized thickened areas of the slab around column connections, which increase the slab thickness and provide additional resistance to bending moments and shear stresses in critical regions. These drops enhance structural stability, reduce the risk of punching shear failure, and improve resistance to dynamic forces, making them suitable for moderate- to high-load applications such as office buildings, shopping malls, and warehouses. Additionally, they are well-suited for buildings in regions with moderate seismic activity.

4. Flat Slabs with Drop and Column Head

Flat slabs with drop panels and column heads combine the benefits of both features, making them the most robust type of flat slab. This design enhances structural integrity by providing maximum resistance to bending, shear, and punching shear forces, resulting in superior load-bearing capacity and durability. These slabs are ideal for high-load applications in seismic zones, such as high-rise buildings, industrial facilities, and large commercial complexes. Their ability to withstand heavy loads and seismic forces ensures greater safety and longevity in critical structures.

Each type of flat slab offers specific benefits and is suited to particular structural requirements. Selecting the appropriate type depends on factors such as load conditions, architectural needs, and seismic activity in the region. While flat slabs without drops or column heads are simple and cost-effective, those with drop panels and column heads offer enhanced strength and stability, making them suitable for more demanding applications. By understanding these types, engineers can optimize the design of flat slab systems to meet both functional and safety requirements.

IV. LITERATURE REVIEW

Gonzaga et. al. (2024), suggests that incorporating shear walls into the design can significantly improve the seismic resilience of flat slab buildings. Using SAP 2000 software, Khan et al. analyzed multi-storied buildings with flat slabs, drop panels, column heads, and shear walls in seismic zone V with soft soil conditions. The study concluded that flat slab buildings with shear walls demonstrated reduced storey displacement and drift, as well as a shorter fundamental natural period, compared to conventional RC frame buildings. These findings indicate that shear walls not only enhance seismic performance but also offer a more effective alternative to conventional RC slab systems in seismic-prone regions.

Gupta et al. (2024) conducted a detailed seismic analysis of reinforced concrete (RC) flat slab buildings using ETABS software, considering both symmetric and non-symmetric configurations. The study adhered to relevant standards, including IS 456:2000 for design, IS 13920:2016 for ductile detailing, and IS 1893:2016 for seismic forces in seismic zone III. Five structural configurations were analyzed: flat plate, flat slabs with drop panels, column heads, slab descents, and area beams with flat slabs. The study utilized Equal Static Linear Analysis and Pushover Static Non-Linear Analysis to assess base shear capacity, displacement, drift ratios, and hinge formation. Results indicated that the Area Beam with Flat Slab configuration performed best, with higher base shear capacity, lower displacement, reduced drift ratios, and superior seismic performance compared to other configurations. The study highlighted the significance of structural elements like drop panels, column heads, and area beams in enhancing seismic resilience, offering valuable insights for safer building designs in earthquake-prone areas.

Blasi et al. (2024) analyzed the seismic response of irregular reinforced concrete framed buildings, focusing on irregularities such as floor height and geometry variations along the elevation. The study compared seismically designed and gravity load-designed structures using a non-linear numerical model for incremental dynamic analyses. Results indicated that irregularities significantly influence floor accelerations, displacements, and spectral acceleration at collapse due to mass and stiffness variations along the height. However, no notable impact on failure modes was observed. The findings highlight the challenges of predicting seismic responses in irregular structures and the critical role of design approaches.

Skoufezis et al. (2023) investigated the influence of vertical seismic components on the response of reinforced concrete planar frames. The study analyzed 20 single- and multi-story frames with varying span lengths, designed per Eurocodes 2 and 8, using inelastic dynamic analysis for 20 near-fault ground motions. Two sets of time-history analyses were conducted: one considering only horizontal seismic components and the other including both horizontal and vertical components. Key response metrics such as axial forces, displacements, and plastic hinge rotations were evaluated, revealing significant differences due to the vertical component. The study concluded that the impact of vertical ground motion is underestimated in modern seismic codes, emphasizing the need for its inclusion in seismic design.

Hashemi et al. (2023) investigated the use of concrete-filled steel tube (CFST) columns as a resilient and sustainable alternative to reinforced concrete (RC) columns in rigid-frame bridges vulnerable to strong earthquakes with significant vertical ground motions. Hybrid simulations were conducted using CFST columns with circular and square cross-sections under combined horizontal and vertical ground motions. The results were compared to a previous study on RC columns for the same bridge structure, using the performance-based earthquake engineering (PBEE) framework. CFST columns demonstrated superior seismic performance and sustainability, with lower repair costs, reduced downtime, and minimized carbon emissions, emphasizing their potential for critical and post-disaster bridge applications.

Pavel et al. (2021) evaluated the seismic performance of a 12-story reinforced concrete structure in Bucharest, Romania, featuring a flat slab system with columns and reinforced concrete core walls. The study focused on seismic conditions unique to the region, characterized by long-period spectral amplifications during large Vrancea earthquakes. Using US Resiliency Council criteria and Italian Guidelines, the building's seismic rating revealed a 3-star classification for safety and a 4-star rating for recovery time and repair costs under the US criteria. According to the Italian Guidelines, the building was graded as Class A. The findings underscore the effectiveness of flat slab structures with core walls in achieving resilience and cost-effectiveness under specific seismic conditions.

Kayastha et al. (2019) highlighted the advantages of flat slab buildings over conventional RC frame structures, such as architectural flexibility, efficient space utilization, simpler formwork, and shorter construction time. However, flat slabs are more flexible and vulnerable to seismic loading due to the absence of beams. To enhance seismic performance, flat slabs are often equipped with drop panels and column heads. The study analyzed a G+3 building with various slab systems, including flat slabs with drops, column heads, and conventional slabs, in seismic zone V with soft soil using SAP 2000 software. A linear dynamic response spectrum analysis was performed to assess parameters like story displacement, drift, base shear, and time period. Results indicated that flat slab buildings without additional reinforcements exhibited reduced stiffness and higher seismic responses. Incorporating shear walls at the building's periphery significantly improved seismic behavior, reducing displacement and drift, and achieving better performance than conventional RC frame buildings. The study recommended using flat slab systems with shear walls for enhanced earthquake resistance in multi-storied structures.

Kim et al. (2018) conducted an analytical study to evaluate the impact of vertical ground motion on 13 reinforced concrete (RC) frames with varying geometries. Using earthquake records with different vertical-to-horizontal peak acceleration ratios scaled to match Korea's seismic hazards, nonlinear time history analyses were performed. The study compared structural responses under horizontal-only and combined vertical-horizontal excitations. The findings highlighted that vertical ground motion significantly influences axial force variations, shear demand, and shear capacity of RC columns, increasing the potential for localized failure. The research emphasized the importance of incorporating vertical ground motion in seismic assessments and design practices for RC structures.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This review highlights the benefits of flat slab systems, such as design flexibility, efficient space use, and ease of construction. However, these systems face challenges in seismic zones, especially near fault lines, due to their reliance on slab-column connections, which are prone to punching shear failure during earthquakes.

Research shows that adding features like drop panels, column heads, and shear walls can significantly improve their earthquake resistance. Advanced simulations reveal how flat slabs respond to complex seismic forces, emphasizing the need to consider vertical ground motion in design codes.

Innovative solutions like concrete-filled steel tube (CFST) columns and performance-based engineering can further enhance seismic resilience and sustainability. By addressing these issues, engineers can create safer, more reliable structures for earthquake-prone areas.

REFERENCES

[1] Nakul Khandelwal, Shruti Bhargava, Hemant Kumar Sain, "A Study on Kota Stone Powder Slurry and Ground-Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag as an Ingredient in Concrete", International Journal of Engineering Science Technology And Research (IJESTR), Vol. 7, Issue. 3, pp. 12-16, 2022.

[2] Nikhil Goyal, Hemant Kumar Sain and Mohsin Khan Agwan, "A Study on Fıber Reınforced Concrete Usıng Dıfferent Types of Geo-Polymer Fıber in Preparatıon of Concrete Sample", Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (JETIR), Vol. 9(9), pp. 750-753, 2022.

[3] Kusum Choudhary, Hemant Kumar Sain, "Seismic Behavioural Analysis of One Bay Structure With Composite Beam and RCC Columns", International Journal of Engineering Research and Generic Science (IJERGS), Vol. 7, Issue. 6, pp. 27-36, 2021.

[4] IS 1893 (Part 1), "IS 1893 (Criteria for Earthquake resistant design of structures, Part 1:General Provisions and buildings)," Bur. Indian Stand. New Delhi, no. December, pp. 1–44, 2016.

[5] Indian Standard, "IS 875-2 : 1987 Code of Practice for Design Loads (Other than Earthquake) for Buildings and

Structures, Part 2: Imposed Loads," Bur. Indian Stand. New Delhi, p. 18, 1987.
[6] Hemant Kumar Sain, Basant Kumar Meena, "An experimental analysis on concrete containing GGBFS and meta kaolin with CCR", AIP Conference Proceedings 2901(1), 050008, pp. 1-13, 2023.
[7] Hemant Kumar Sain, Vishakha Sharma, Bazila Nisar, "Effect of Rock Cracks on RC Structures", AIP Conference Proceedings 2901(1), 050005, pp. 1-5, 2023.
[8] Deepram Meena, Mohsin Khan Agwan, Hemant Kumar Sain, "An Experimental Study on the Behaviour of Concrete by Partial Replacement for Rice Hush Ash, Silica Fume & Iron Slag with Cement", International Journal of Engineering Trends and Applications (IJETA), Vol. 10, 2023.
[9] Ric L. Gonzaga, Monique T. Escober, "Seismic Performance of High Rise Flat Slab Structure with Reinforced Concrete Outriggers in Seismically Active Regions: A Case Study", Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology, Vol. 43, Issue. 10, 2024.
[10] Pranjal Gupta, Chayan Gupta, "Seismic performance evaluation of reinforced concrete flat slab buildings using ETABS", Asian Journal of Civil Engineering, Vol. 25, pp. 4995-5007, 2024.
[11] Gianni Blasi, Andrea Santo Scarlino, Salvatore Chirivì, Daniele Perrone, Maria Antonietta Aiello, "Seismic response of irregular RC buildings designed for gravity and seismic loads", Bulletin of Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 22, pp. 5231-5237, 2024.
[12] Antonios Skoufezis, Grigorios Manoukas, "Influence of vertical seismic component on the response of reinforced concrete regular planar frames", Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering, Vol. 165, 2023.
[13] Javad Hashemi, Ali Al-Attraqchi, Riadh Al-Mahaidi, "Comparative Assessment of RC and CFST Columns in Rigid-Frame Bridges Subjected to Horizontal and Vertical Ground Motions", Building for the Future: Durable, Sustainable, Resilient, pp. 1539-1548, 2023.
[14] Florin Pavel, Radu Vacareanu, Dragos Marcu, "Seismic performance assessment and rating for a flat-slab RC core wall structure in Bucharest, Romania", Structures, Vol. 31, pp. 1006-1016, 2021.
[15] Nipan Bhandar Kayastha, Rama Debbarma, "Seismic Performance of Reinforced Concrete Building with Flat Slab", AIP Conference Proceedings, pp. 1-7, Sep 2019.
[16] Siyun Kim, Sung Jig Kim, Chunho Chang, "Analytical Assessment of the Effect of Vertical Ground Motion on RC Frames Designed for Gravity Loads with Various Geometric Configurations", Advances in Civil Engineering, pp. 1-11, 2018.