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ABSTRACT
This paper applies agents to the highly dynamic and variable context of healthcare emergency decision-support domain. More specifically we advocate the use of mobile agents to support the deployment of an ambulance service in real-time. We presented an implementation of the proposed agent based architecture, which was based on the specific functional and non-functional application requirements set out based on thorough analysis of literature. We also created an illustrative emergency scenario in order to demonstrate the validity and feasibility of our proposed model. From the evaluation of the implementation we were able to identify some of the major technical advantages it has to offer as well as challenges one needs to address in similar attempts. We choose mobile agents as the key enabling technology because they offer a single, general framework in which large-scale distributed real-time decision support applications can be implemented more efficiently. Healthcare was chosen as the target application domain to emphasize both the benefits derived from the exploitation of mobile agent paradigm in this domain as well as to demonstrate the benefits of the proposed approach in the highly uncertain context.
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I. INTRODUCTION
The role of context for better decision-making has been a topic of significant research effort over the years. The more ambiguous decision situation, the bigger advantage is there to provide the decision-maker with support in modeling and learning the context for better understanding of decision parameters and implications of selecting particular decision alternatives. Most of real-time decisions are mainly based on access to real-time data or information that can support the decision-making process. In critical situations such as healthcare management or national defense, there is a risk of making incorrect decision based on incomplete or outdated information. In particular, assisting the decision makers in gathering and analyzing the data on damage assessment, meteorology, demography, etc. and providing healthcare decision support in the case of disaster and recovery represents a very important and innovative area for research from the context modeling perspective.
infrastructure for wireless connectivity network security and parallel processing of multiple sources of information.

This paper brings together context awareness, mobile software agents and decision support concepts by proposing a real-time DSS for the highly dynamic and variable healthcare emergency domain. More specifically we demonstrate the use of mobile agents to support the deployment of an ambulance support services in real-time. We presented an implementation of the proposed agent based architecture, which was based on the specific functional and non-functional application requirements set out based on thorough analysis of literature. We describe an emergency scenario to demonstrate the validity and feasibility of our proposed model. We were able to identify some of the major technical advantages as well as challenges one needs to be aware of in similar attempts. We choose mobile agents as the key enabling technology because they offer a single, general framework in which large-scale distributed real-time decision support applications can be implemented more efficiently.

II. AGENT TECHNOLOGY FOR CONTEXT-AWARE DECISION SUPPORT IN HEALTHCARE EMERGENCY CONTEXT

Mobile agent technology provides an attractive and important technique for building large-scale distributed applications in heterogeneous computing environments. A mobile agent can be viewed as an autonomous program that has the ability to transport itself between the nodes of a network entirely under its own control, carrying with it the data and execution state required to resume execution at the destination host from the point it ceased on the original host.

Therefore, it is the agent that decides ‘when to move’, ‘where to move’, ‘what to execute’ and ‘how to execute it’.

Due to their autonomous and active nature, mobile agents offer several benefits over such traditional technologies as client-server, to enable a mechanism for context-aware decision support. As they are able to encapsulate protocols needed to perform a given task, agents tend to be relatively easy to customize and can rapidly adapt to changing user requirements and run-time context. Apart from providing mobility, agents posses the unique characteristic of adapting to changes in their execution environment and hence have a higher chance of survival and achieving the application objectives over a large, distributed and heterogeneous network when compared against more traditional techniques. Some of current pervasive computing research projects combine agent technology with context awareness. Through this convergence mobile software agents are capable of embedding light-weight context reasoning engine and do preliminary processing where context originates.

The healthcare sector is not only widely distributed and fragmented but it also exhibits a high degree of heterogeneity with strong local autonomy. The current lack of standards across different institutions prevents from using a single software solution to support a co-operative working environment. It is also important to realize that the domain is very information-intensive and mission-critical. The large volume of medical data with its complexity and variety makes it impractical to be transported between ‘point-of-source’ and ‘point-of-delivery’ as in the traditional client-server case. In addition, the hospital environment by default is ‘highly mobile’ with caregivers constantly on the move. To meet the varying information and resource needs of these personnel and yet be able to support their physical mobility requirements, the mobile computing technique seems to provide an ideal fit. The flexibility offered by this paradigm, however, comes with some major challenges that are uncommon is static counterparts (for example the key issue of low bandwidth and highly unreliable connections). Thus, the healthcare domain is quite unique in that it brings with it several requirements and constraints, which have great practical relevance for any application to be successfully developed in such environments. We advocate the pro-active, goal driven and autonomous nature of mobile agent technology as a mechanism for the highly mobile, dynamic and variable context in real-time decision support for ambulatory services environment. We have used the term ‘ambulatory’ to refer to the highly mobile, dynamic and variable context of medical front-line support areas such as ambulance and paramedic services. This differs from traditional definition of the term, which generally means ‘able to walk’ or ‘moveable’. Henceforth, when we use the term ‘ambulatory’ we are actually using it in the context of healthcare delivered by ambulance services.

Tung and Sankaran proposed an Internet-based framework for supporting collaborative work across a geographically dispersed set of cooperating mobile software agents. Agents acted as tele-workers and were capable of distributed decision making by collaborating and negotiating with each other. By
adopting a proactive approach rather than a reactive one, these agents were able to execute tasks on behalf of their users in an intelligent and autonomous manner. Due to their adaptive nature they were also able to continuously search for conflicting problems during their execution and could automatically intervene when necessary in order to achieve a consensual solution.

We advocate the use of mobile software agents to support the deployment of an ambulance service in a realtime. Agents are not only used to process and retrieve the required information from various hospital information systems (HIS) but are also involved in coordination activities and decision support. The prototype we developed based on the proposed architecture did not intend to solve all the problems associated with the use of agents. Instead some of the fundamental properties exhibited by agents and their ability to overcome the static nature of current distributed networks, makes them very well suited for developing applications to maintain front-line-support areas such as paramedics and ambulance services.

The review of agent-based application showed that although agents have been deployed in a wide variety of scenarios ranging from information filtering/retrieval to resource coordination/deployment to supporting mobile users, but to be best of our knowledge, nobody thus far has utilized mobile agents for ambulatory support applications. Although, Morton and Bukres did describe an architecture to support ambulatory services for the Wishard Memorial Hospital, this architecture however, was based on standard mobile computing model and needed the additional support of a base station agent (BSA) which acted as an intermediary to support disconnected entities. On the other hand, by utilizing mobile agents, our proposed prototype can handle any intermediary entities but also caters to a host of other requirements inherent to such environments. It is also important to note that our application does not intend to replace current legacy systems but rather integrate and complement them. It provides a means for exchanging information in real-time between the various parties involved, in order to support decision making by integrating the factors of mobility and time.

The reason for choosing ambulance services as a target context was two fold. Firstly, the review showed that although mobile agents have been deployed in a wide variety of application scenarios, nobody thus far has utilized them to maintain medical real-time decision-support area such as paramedics and ambulatory services. Secondly, the very nature of the domain, with its mobility and variability, provided an ideal situation where the use of agent technology could be a superior alternative.

III. PROPOSED ARCHITECTURE REQUIREMENTS AND DESIGN PRINCIPLES

In this section we summarise key requirements for any application used for front-line-support medical personnel. These requirements have been formulated with a software engineering perspective and are crucial for our application’s success.

3.1 SUPPORT FOR HETEROGENEITY

The health care sector is widely distributed and fragmented and exhibits a high degree of heterogeneity with strong local autonomy. The lack of standards across organizations means that every legacy HIS is unique in itself and is tailored to suit the contexts and demands of the given institution. Individual medical records however, are highly fragmented and can be scattered across multiple institutions. As we have earlier identified that providing medical care is essentially a group activity in which multiple parties need to coordinate their actions (which could be long standing) and share information not only between departments but also across organizations. Therefore, the ability to integrate the heterogeneous data sources and provide the user with a single, unified data view in a transparent manner is essential.

3.2 DISCONNECTION SUPPORT

Point-of-care delivery of information is vital for any application in the healthcare environment. In order to meet the varying information and resource needs of mobile personnel and yet be able to support their physical mobility requirements making the mobile computing paradigm an obvious choice. An increasing number of medical practitioners are using personal digital assistants (palm-tops, tablet PC’s etc.) with wireless connectivity in order to have access to vital medical information on the move. This flexibility however comes with some major challenges. One of the key issues introduced by such environments is that, unlike the static counterparts, mobile connections tend having low bandwidth and are unreliable in nature. This implies that the uses are subjected to frequent and unplanned disconnections. This becomes especially true when an ambulance frequently moves between various points across the city. In such dynamic
environments it becomes necessary to be able to asynchronously and autonomously carry on with the designated tasks even while the user is disconnected. Therefore, it is an important requirement for our application to be able to support temporary disconnections from the network and adapt its context accordingly.

3.3 THIN CLIENT SUPPORT

As the mobile computing paradigm proliferates rapidly into the medical field alongside the existing legacy systems, it is important to realise the changes in the landscape of the computing devices it brings. Unlike stationary desktop oriented machines (PC’s), mobile devices (such as phones, PDA’s) are constrained by their shape, size and weight. Due to their limited size, these devices tend to be extremely resource constrained in terms of their processing power, available memory, battery capacity and screen size among others. Traditional applications however, are not designed to cater to such issues. In order to achieve an acceptable level of performance, application which target such ‘thin clients’ need not only be able to conserve resources but should also be lightweight enough. The aim of our proposed prototype is to be able to support real-time ‘intelligent’ deployment of ambulances based on the latest available hospital resource information. It is envisaged that our application will primarily run on a terminal onboard the ambulance. We assume that due to the nature of such an environment the on-board computer is either a PDA, carried by the paramedic or a dashboard mounted computer, which is similar in capability and resources to a PDA. Hence, the proposed application should be designed such that it is not only feature-packed enough to support the demands of the paramedical personnel but is also capable of running on resource constrained devices.

3.4 FAULT TOLERANCE

Reliability is an essential requirement in critical application domains such as healthcare. An efficient and effective level of service requires the coordination of a number of entities (some mobile, some static), physically separated by a varying degree of geographical distances. The variability of the conditions and context of this environment make it susceptible to frequent and mostly unplanned failure across the multiple heterogeneous nodes.

Applications therefore should be designed in such a way that they must still remain functional even if some individual nodes or specific network connections become unavailable for an unspecified time period. Single points of failure are not acceptable.

As our proposed application involves a combination of portable devices (ambulance based computers) and fixed information servers, which interact with each other using a mix of wireless and static networks, the possibility of individual failures is numerous. Thus, our application needs to be structured in such a way that it is able to gracefully handle specific environmental failures and yet remain functional.

3.5 LOCALITY OF REFERENCE

As we move towards a ‘managed care’ model for health services the ability to access and process multiple heterogeneous sources of information in a transparent manner becomes a necessity. However, medical information is not only highly fragmented but its sheer volume/size makes it difficult and impractical to transport it between network nodes. Moreover, with an ever-increasing acceptance for mobile and wearable computing techniques within the domain, render it even more difficult to process large quantities of medical data on client nodes due to the restrictive nature of these devices (thin clients) and the unreliable, low bandwidth connection environments they generally tend to operate in. The method is needed, which will enable sorting through the various data sets at the source itself, identifying bits that are most relevant to the case-at-hand, then presenting this significantly smaller data set to the client. The ambulatory scenario too is set in such highly mobile and restrictive surroundings. The ability to overcome client limitations and process information closer to its source has great relevance to our application.

3.6 SCALABILITY

Another core issue to be considered while designing an ambulatory application is scalability. A citywide ambulance service typically spans over many tens if not hundreds of organizations ranging from small specialist clinics to full-size hospitals. Each of these organizations is essentially a node in a citywide network. The notion of scalability is two fold. Firstly, our application should be able to cater to the large number of dynamic nodes changing over time (as new hospitals open and some older ones close down). Aside from the pure number of nodes, scalability also concerns the type of individual nodes very different, both in terms of functionality and resources. Our application should therefore be able to take such differences into account and scale to them with minimum effort or changes on the part of its users.

3.7 CUSTOMISABILITY

Essentially, this requirement tags along with the scalability factor required by our application.
Review of systems deployed in healthcare showed, that current hospital information systems (HIS) tend to be solutions that are tailor-made and targeted to suit the contexts of the particular hospital. This means that the domain of healthcare has traditionally been dominated by single-vendor, proprietary systems. The current lack of standards across organizations implies that every organization has system internals, which are very different from the other (database implementation, security policy etc.). For applications, to be able to interact with these systems they need to be adaptable enough to be able to speak the same ‘language’ as the systems themselves. As our ambulance-based application will need to interact with a number of hospitals in real-time, hence it needs to be designed in a way so that it is customizable enough to adapt to the changing requirements.

IV. ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO

We illustrate the agent-based application model employing a sample scenario. Consider a situation in which a middle age man suffers from a cardiac arrest while on his way to work. The on-lookers immediately call 000 providing details such as the location of the patient, the nature of the accident, along with some form of identification (ID) and if possible a name (we assume that the patient will have some form of ID such as a driving license or a medical insurance card on him). The dispatch centre then relays this information to the most appropriate ambulatory service, which promptly dispatches an ambulance to the scene. The paramedics use the dashboard-mounted terminal on the ambulance to filter out the group of hospitals that are in close proximity to the patient’s location. They also key in the available details such as ID/name, gender and type of treatment required. Once this is done, the paramedics use the travel time to the accident scene to launch two mobile agent assistants. These agents use the data entered by the paramedics to autonomously and asynchronously traverse the various nodes of the network to carry out specific information retrieval tasks on behalf of the paramedics. Finally they collaborate their results and present it to the user in a transparent manner. We call out first mobile agent as the ‘hospital assistant’. This agent is tasked to retrieve the latest resource details form the list of hospitals assigned to it. As mentioned, paramedics’ shortlist a group of hospitals based on the patient’s location (they may not do this in which case the agent will visit all hospitals in the city irrespective of their distance form the scene of accident). Each hospital is essentially a node in our distributed medical environment. Once launched, the mobile assistant uses the list of hospitals it was assigned and migrates to each of the hospitals one by one. When it arrives at a destination hospital node it interacts locally with the stationary hospital agent to retrieve the latest resource consumptions details for the hospital. As part of its interaction with the stationary agent the assistant acquires information about 1) Facility type offered by the hospital (24-Hr Emergency Centre, Cardiology Ward etc.) 2) Total number of doctors present 3) Number of doctors available to attend patient at present 4) Total number of nurses present 5) Number of nurses available to attend patient at present 6) Total number of beds at the hospital 7) Number of beds available. After receiving the requested information, the assistant then visits the next hospital on the list and repeats its request. It keeps doing this till it reaches the last hospital on its list. Upon completion the agent moves back to the ambulance to relay its results.

While the hospital assistant retrieves the latest resource utilization details from various hospitals, the second mobile agent, which we refer to as the ‘data assistant’ simultaneously retrieves the patient’s medical history along with the latest route status for the selected hospitals. Based on the patient’s ID details, the data assistant travels to a central medical repository (Medical Archives) interacts with the stationary archive assistant and acquires the medical details of the patient along with his past medical history. As part of the of the patients details the assistant acquires the 1) Name of the patient 2) Health insurance number (if available) 3) Driving license number (if available) 4) Address details 5) Known drug allergies. As part of the patient’s medical history the assistant acquires information about 1) Hospital/Clinic visited by the patient 2) Date of visit 3) Details of visit (medical procedures performed etc.) 4) Name of physician attended. It is important to note that for our scenario we assume that every individual has a unique identifier (ID) that is used to tag all his medical related details. If no ID details were entered by the paramedic (or were not available in the first place) the data assistant automatically skips going to the archive node and migrates directly to the road authority website for the latest situation on roads leading to each of the selected hospitals. After retrieving the relevant patient details and the necessary road updates, the agent moves back to the ambulance to relay this information. However, before both the hospital assistant and the data assistant publish their
results, they coordinate their results with each other. The UI then uses this set of results to rank the list of hospitals in order of suitability. In order to rank the hospitals the following are taken into consideration 1) Current resource availability at each hospital 2) Special medical facility offered by each hospital 3) Patient’s medical history with each hospital and 4) Current road status information.

By the time paramedics reach the scene of emergency their onboard terminal already reflects the list of nearby hospitals ranked form the most relevant to the current case, to the least relevant. This is information is also supplemented with the patient’s medical details and current road situation for each hospital. Once at the scene the medics stabilise the patient, administer medications based on the medical history (such as allergies) and load him in. It is important to note that although the application ranks the hospitals in their order of priority, the final decision with respect to selecting the most appropriate hospital still rests with the paramedics. In essence the application provides for a decision support tool that aids the paramedic by empowering him with real-time, mission critical information at the point-of-care. The paramedics review the ranked hospitals and the ranking details associated with each one and then make their final selection. On the way to the selected hospital, a message is sent to the associated hospital agent, which then updates the relevant hospital databases with the details of the patient ‘en route’ to the hospital. By the time the ambulance reaches the hospital this information has already enabled the emergency room staff to prepare for the arrival of the patient.

V. MOBILE AGENT BASED ARCHITECTURE

To support the above scenario we propose an application structured around the mobile agent paradigm. Figure 2 shows the architecture that we have adopted. This architecture involves a mix of stationary (hospitals) and mobile nodes (ambulances) supported by a combination of static and wireless networks. These network of nodes communicate and share information using mobile agents. The various agents autonomously and asynchronously migrate between nodes and interact with other stationary or mobile agents in order to accomplish their assigned tasks. The application gets initiated when the paramedic selects a list of hospital based on the accident location, keys in the patient ID and clicks on the ‘Launch Agent’ button on the on the user interface component of the ambulance node. This deploys the two mobile assistants associated with the application – ‘hospital assistant’ and ‘data assistant’. The hospital assistant is tasked to visit a list of hospitals identified by the paramedic. Once launched, it migrates to the various hospital nodes, interacts with the stationary ‘hospital agent’ and retrieves the latest resource utilization details. The data assistant on the other hand is tasked to retrieve the patient’s medical details and get the latest road status information for the selected hospitals. Once launched, it migrates to the ‘medical archives node’ and interacts with the stationary archive agent to retrieve the patient medical history based on his ID. It then travels to the ‘road authority web site’ and gets the latest updates on road status information for each of the selected hospital. When their respective tasks are done, the mobile agents then move back to their home node (ambulance which initially launched them). Upon arriving back at the home base, they coordinate with each other to collaborate their results before publishing it to the user interface.

The six major components, which comprise the proposed architecture are detailed in the following section.

5.1 AMBULANCE NODE

The ambulance node is the only mobile node of our architecture. It involves an ambulance based, wireless-enabled, mobile terminal that houses our application’s user interface. This mobile terminal could either be a PDA carried by the paramedic or a dashboard mounted computer. Due to the limited nature (size, computational power, memory) of these devices, the ambulance terminal is essentially a ‘thin-client’, which is mainly used to provide the paramedics with a graphical interface (GUI) to be able to interact with the application. The main functionalities offered by the GUI are: To allow paramedics to shortlist the hospitals they are interested in based on the location of emergency (for e.g. hospitals within the same suburb or neighbouring suburbs) - To key in the available patient details (ID/name/gender)

- To specify if a special medical facility is required (e.g. sports medicine, cardiology etc.)
- To be able to launch the mobile agents – hospital assistant and data assistant in order to carry out the various information retrieval tasks.
- To display the list of selected hospitals ranked in the order of suitability in context to the current patient (as received from the mobile agents)
- To display the patient details including his past medical history (as received from the mobile agents)
- To display the latest route status information for each of the selected hospitals
- To allow the paramedic to verify the ranking details associated with any of the selected hospitals.
- When the paramedic has made his selection of the hospital, the UI should allow him to be able to send a message to the associated hospital agent in order to make a booking for the patient to the hospital.

As the ambulance node is mobile in nature, it needs to be supported by a citywide wireless network that spans across all the medical institutions. It then uses this wireless network to communicate with the various static nodes (hospitals, web site etc.) of our proposed architecture. Wireless networks have their own set of special requirements (such as cell hopping etc.) but we do not consider this within our scope. We are only concerned with the various properties exhibited by mobile agents, which make them well suited for being deployed in such environments. In addition to providing a user interface to the application, the only other feature the ambulance node supports is an agent system. This system provides the basic set of functionality required by the node in order to launch and receive mobile agents.

5.2 HOSPITAL NODE
Each hospital node represents a particular hospital/clinic, which exists with the geographical area where the ambulatory services operates. The hospital node consists of a stationary hospital agent and a proprietary hospital information system (HIS). The HIS is composed of a number of different components that support the various information needs of the particular hospital. For our purpose we will consider only the databases associated with resource utilisation within the hospital. The resources are doctors, nurses and beds. In addition to the hospital agent and the HIS, the hospital node also supports an agent system. This agent system provides the infrastructure required to host and execute the stationary hospital agent as well as to be able to accept incoming mobile agents (mobile hospital assistant). When a mobile agent arrives at the node, it interacts locally with the stationary agent to acquire the required information. The stationary agent then services these requests by accessing the relevant databases of the HIS.

5.3 MEDICAL ARCHIVES NODE
The review of current medical systems showed that medical records are highly fragmented and distributed across various organizations. Although not many countries currently support the idea of a nationwide medical archive that serves as a central repository for an individual’s medical details/past history, we foresee that an integration of this information is likely to occur, in the not so distant future, in order to achieve the desired service levels and cost reduction in the healthcare field.

In our proposed architecture the medical archive node component essentially serves this purpose. The node consists of a stationary archive agent and a database repository, which store the medical information for the entire population. Individual patient records are retrieved based on a unique patient identifier (we have used driving license number and the health insurance number for our scenario). Just like the other nodes in the architecture, this node too supports an agent system in order to accept and support the execution of agents. The role of the stationary archive agent is nothing more than a ‘shopkeeper’. In other words when a mobile agent (data assistant) visits the node to gather information for a given patient ID, it already carries with it all the necessary logic need to retrieve and process this information. The stationary archive agent only serves to provide the necessary database connection details and the necessary information for the database tables, which store the relevant information. The mobile agent then uses these details to retrieve the details associated with the current patient. Security is a major issue when it comes to accessing medical information in electronic formats. However, we assume that the security is provided by the underlying infrastructure of the node.

5.4 ROAD AUTHORITY WEBSITE
Apart from taking into account factors like the current resource availability, special medical facilities offered by individual hospitals and the past medical history of the patient we also factor in the current road status information for the various hospitals when ranking them in the order of their suitability. The road authority node is actually a HTML based website, which provides real-time updates regarding the road status for individual hospitals which exists in the city. The road status is given in terms of total percentage of roads blocked due to present traffic conditions, construction work or other blockages that may exists. The road authority node component is made up of a stationary agent with
a web front end to it. When a mobile agent (data assistant) visits this node it interacts with the web page agent to retrieve the road updates for each hospital it has on its list of selected hospitals.

5.5 MOBILE HOSPITAL ASSISTANT

The hospital assistant component is one of the two mobile agents employed by our architecture. This component serves as the ‘link’ between the mobile ambulance node and the other static nodes representing the various hospitals/speciality clinics. The primary task of this agent is to travel to the various hospital nodes and retrieve the latest availability of resources. For the purpose of our model we consider three types of resources – doctors, nurses and hospital beds. The hospital assistant agent gets instantiated when the paramedic clicks on the ‘Launch Agent’ button of the user interface. As part of its instantiation the assistant is passed the list of hospitals, which the paramedics have short-listed. On deployment, the agent contacts a central resource registry service (similar to a yellow pages directory) is actually a Grasshopper Region [Gra01]. Since the hospital assistant is a mobile agent it is capable of migrating to its destination node in order to execute the assigned tasks.

The agents start off by moving to the first hospital node on its list. Upon it successful arrival at its destination, the assistant interacts with the stationary hospital agent present at this node and queries the desired resource status information. The interaction takes place locally within the hospital node using standard Grasshopper communication services. Each interaction is made up of a series of ‘request’ and ‘replies’ between the two agents. The information exchanged as part the interaction includes:

- Name of the hospital
- Special medical facilities provided by the hospital (e.g. cardiology, sports medicine etc.)
- Total number of doctors currently on duty at the hospital
- Number of doctors that are currently available to attend a patient
- Total number of nurses currently on duty at the hospital
- Number of nurses that are currently available to attend a patient
- Total number of hospital beds
- Number of beds currently available.

When the mobile assistant has acquired the required information, it moves on to the next hospital on its list. On arrival, it again interacts with the stationary agent present at this node repeating its series of requests. The mobile agent keeps doing this till it has finished with all the hospitals on its list. After having visited all the hospital nodes it was tasked too and acquiring the required information the mobile hospital assistant moves back to its home node (the ambulance client which deployed it). Once home, it interacts with the data assistant agent to collaborate the results. The collaborated set of results is then communicated to the UI, which uses it to rank the hospitals in terms of their suitability to the current patient. This sorted list of hospitals is then displayed to the paramedics. The following factors are taken into consideration when ranking the hospitals:

- Availability of individual resources (doctors / nurses / beds)
- If the facilities offered by a hospital is suitable to the current case
- Number of times the current patient has visited a particular hospital
- Current route status of a particular hospital

It is important to realise that the distributed and heterogeneous environment in which the hospital assistant operates in, is subjected to multiple points of failure. This becomes especially true since our environment is composed of a mix of stationary and mobile nodes interacting together using a combination of static and wireless networks. The possible points of failure identified for the mobile hospital assistant are:

- The assistant gets lost while transiting between two nodes and is unable to arrive at its destination.
- The node on which the assistant currently resides on crashes.
- The assistant is unable to move back to the ambulance (due to possible link failure or the ambulance node may be down)

It therefore becomes necessary that the hospital assistant be designed in a way such that it is fault tolerant. In order to counter the above point of failure we propose the following:

Before every migration the assistant creates a clone of itself. This clone is an exact copy of the assistant and is capable of executing in a similar manner to its parent. Once it has cloned itself, the parent copy then moves to its destination node, upon successful arrival it sends a message asking the clone to remove itself. If the clone does not receive this acknowledgement in a specified timeframe, (this is the
estimated time required to travel between two hospital nodes) it is assumed that the migration attempt failed. When this occurs, the clone takes over the tasks of the parent and repeats the entire process of ‘cloning’ and then moving. Adopting this strategy helps safeguard against the assistant getting lost during transition.

In order to safeguard against the failure of a node on which the assistant currently resides on, we propose the use of the Grasshopper Agency’s persistence service. This service is part of the core functionality of the agency and is used to store the data states of all currently hosted agents onto a persistent medium (hard disk). Thus if a node was to crash due to an unforeseen event, its agency has all the necessary information to reconstruct the currently hosted agents upon start-up.

If for some reason the hospital assistant finds that it is unable to move back home (due to possible network failure, home node currently unavailable etc.), it possesses the ability to wait at its current location. When this happens, the assistant goes into ‘hibernation’ for a predefined time period, wakes up and then tries to move back again. It keeps doing this till it is able to successfully get back home or till a specified wait period expires (whichever is earlier). If the assistant is still unable to get back home after the wait period has expired it is assumed that an application error has occurred and the assistant stops its execution and removes itself. The scenario described above in section 5 involved a man who suffers form a heart attack while on his way to work. A call from the dispatch centre alerts the ambulance of this emergency. Based on the information available, paramedics filter out a group of hospitals that are in close proximity to the location of emergency. They key in the relevant patient details and also indicate any specific medical facility required (cardiology in this case). Figure 1 shows the DSS user interface based on the information entered. The paramedics then utilize the travel time to the scene of emergency to launch a set of two mobile agents. The first mobile agent (referred to as hospital assistant) starts off by contacting the Grasshopper Region to ‘discover’ the four hospital nodes that paramedics have short-listed. When these nodes have been successfully discovered, the agent migrates to the first hospital on its list (Aged Care Victoria in this case). Upon arrival, it interacts with the stationary agent present at this node. Figure 1 shows this interaction process, which takes place via a proxy object using Grasshopper communications.
Figure 1. Mobile Agent Based Architecture for emergencyDSS. As can be seen from the above figure, a single interaction process is actually made up a series of requests. By being able to migrate to its destination host, the hospital assistant makes this entire process local thus bypassing the network. Having obtained the requested information, the mobile agent moves to the next hospital on its list, repeating its series of requests. It keeps doing this till it arrives at the last hospital on its list, following which the mobile assistant moves back to the ambulance node.

While the hospital assistant retrieves the resource utilization details, another mobile agent (referred to as data assistant) simultaneously gathers route status updates for the selected hospitals along with the relevant medical history of the current patient. It starts off by migrating to the medical archives node in the network and obtains the relevant database connection details by interacting with the stationary archives agent. It then uses these connection details to retrieve the medical history associated with the current patient based on the identification details specified. Figure 3 illustrates the process of obtaining a patient's medical details.

Following this, the data assistant moves to the road authority node to gather current route status updates for each of the four hospitals. Road status is obtained in terms of total percentage of roads blocked due to various factors (such as traffic situation, construction work etc.) Figure 4 shows the sequence diagram for obtaining the route status updates.

When both the mobile agents have finished with their assigned tasks, they move back to the ambulance node. Subsequently, they coordinate with each other to collaborate on their result sets. Based on results obtained, the four short-listed hospitals are ranked in their order of suitability. This ranked list of hospitals is then published to the user interface and gets shown to the paramedics as illustrated in Figure 6.
Figure 4 Obtaining Route Status Updates. Figure 5 Hospitals Ranked in terms of Suitability. Therefore, by the time paramedics reach the scene of emergency; the ambulance’s onboard terminal already reflects the list of nearby hospitals ranked from the most relevant to the least. This information is further supplemented with the patient’s medical history (Figure 6) and the current route status update for each selected hospital (Figure 7). Context-aware mobile agents for decision-making support.

Paramedics review this ranked list of hospitals along with the associated ranking details and make their selection. On the way to the selected hospital, a message is sent to the respective hospital node with details of the patient ‘en route’ to the hospital. Thus, by the time the ambulance reaches the selected hospital, this information has already enabled emergency room staff to prepare for the arrival of John Smith publishing it onto the user interface. It is assumed that mobile agents have access to context-on-demand infrastructure and can request current context, eg, location of other ambulances, general statistical data about the mass casualty event, road traffic status, level of training and experience of ambulance personnel, etc. These context attributes differ in their importance for the on-board DSS. However, the importance varies over time.

VI. VERIFYING APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS AND DESIGN CRITERIA

We had identified some of the key requirements that need to be addressed by our application for it to be successfully deployed in the
dynamic and variable context of healthcare. In this section we revisit these and detail how our mobile agent based implementation meets the desired design criteria.

We had earlier identified that the healthcare environment is not only distributed by nature but also exhibits a high level of heterogeneity across individual organizations. Therefore, for any application to be successfully deployed in such environments it is essential that it is able to seamlessly integrate the many heterogeneous nodes in a transparent manner. Our architecture employs mobile agents to visit the various organization nodes and acquire the desired information. Because these agents are only dependent solely on the execution environment (agent system) and not on the underlying hardware/software/transport layers of the node itself, makes them naturally heterogeneous. Various tasks can be assigned to these agents; they can then autonomously and asynchronously visit the various heterogeneous nodes of the network to execute them. Returning home (ambulance) to convey their results once they have finished.

Another key aspect of our approach is the ability to support a disconnected client node. As the ambulance moves around the city, there are areas where it is out of network reach (inside a tunnel for example). Therefore, it becomes necessary for our application to be flexible enough to take such temporary but unplanned changes in the environment into account. The fact that the agents deployed in our application are mobile and are able to take autonomous decisions of behalf of an entity independent of the entity itself makes them particularly well suited for such mobile computing environments. Once these agents have been initialised and dispatched, they are capable of executing autonomously and asynchronously. They carry on with the assigned task without any further interventions required form the users. This effectively implies that they only time the ambulance node needs to be connected to the network is initially to launch these agents and finally to receive them back.

The mobile nature of this application also means that the computing devices needed to support the paramedics will be constrained in terms of their shape, size and weight. We propose the use of a PDA or a dashboard mounted terminal. Due to their limitations these devices are effectively ‘thin-client’, restricted in terms of their processing power, memory and bandwidth availability. By deploying mobile agents to carry out the various retrieval tasks, we are able to overcome the limitations exhibited by our ambulance client. As our agents are not restricted by physical machine boundaries, they can travel around the network to execute the various tasks closer to the information source. The advantage here lies in the fact that agents are able to execute computationally intensive tasks (such as information filtering and retrieval) at the destination nodes itself and return only the most relevant information back to the client. All that the client needs to do is to display these results.

In addition to the mobile settings, part of the dynamicity and variability of the context and conditions of our application arises because it involves a combination of static and mobile nodes interacting using a mix of static and wireless networks. This results in a possibility of a high failure rate. However, as we have already stated that reliability is an essential requirement in critical application domains such as healthcare. Single points of failure are not acceptable. Structuring the application based on the mobile agent paradigm gives it the flexibility required to be able to gracefully handle specific failures and yet remain functional. As the agent abstraction consists of code along with its state of execution and coupled with the fact that our agents are mobile and autonomous in nature allows them to react dynamically to a given situation (such as node failure). The net result is that employing mobile agents makes it easier to build fault tolerant and robust systems that exhibit a higher degree of survivability (as compared to conventional clientserver oriented applications).

By using mobile agents to process and acquire information, it is possible to provide a classic example for locality of reference. Rather than having to send large amounts of data over the network to be integrated and processed on the ambulance client we dispatch a set of mobile agents. These agents migrate to the various nodes,
process data locally and return back with only what is required. The concept of moving computation to data rather than the other way around provides for a two-fold advantage. Firstly, it cuts down on the network load and helps overcome latency. Secondly, by processing things remotely the agents are able to overcome the resource limitations of our ambulance node. This ties along with our goal of being able to support thin-clients in a mobile setting.

Basing our proposed architecture on the mobile agent paradigm also allows it to be more easily customisable (compared to traditional technologies) and flexible enough to effectively scale up to the various types of nodes in the citywide network. Our application needs to cater not only for the changing number of organization nodes but also their different types (hence, scalability and customisability to a certain degree go hand in hand). By using agents we are able to encapsulate the ‘protocol’ needed to perform a given task. Hence, when the agents move around the network visiting remote hosts they establish ‘channels’ customised to the ‘know-how’ they themselves carry. This flexibility allows for agents to speak the same language of the organisational node they are visiting, making it possible for a single mobile agent to visit a multitude of heterogenous nodes and yet be ‘tailored’ to every one of them.

Thus the key benefits of mobile agents as important tools for the proposed application are:

• Overcome limitations of the ambulance client
• Provide locality of reference resulting in reduced network load and latency
• Ability to adapt dynamically to their execution context resulting in increased fault tolerance
• Autonomous and asynchronous operation in a heterogenous environment.
• Disconnection support • Customisability

Some of these benefits can be achieved one way or another by using a combination of different existing technologies. We choose mobile agents because they offer a single, general framework in which large-scale distributed applications can be implemented more efficiently.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have demonstrated how the agents technology can be used to provide decision support in a dynamic, context sensitive environment. Healthcare example was selected as an appropriate field due to the fact that it lends itself very well for such implementation from the point of view of business requirements as well as recently increased interest in using technologies by medical practitioners. Various arguments and reasons have been put forward by proponents of the agents technology to emphasize the potential this paradigm has to offer. However, despite the claimed potential, opponents believe that most of these benefits can be achieved one way or the other by using a combination of already existing technologies minus the shortcomings agents currently exhibit (for example such as security concerns and lack of infrastructure). In fact agent technology is in a state of paradox. Though the field has enjoyed concomitant research progress and is a ‘buzzword’ within both the commercial and academic worlds, yet the rate of uptake in terms of commercially fielded systems has been extremely slow. This is despite the fact that there are many potential application areas where mobile agents can be ideally deployed. While numerous agent system have been developed and agents have been applied to a wide variety of applications ranging from purely commercial to military to educational, none of these has been able to ‘jump-start’ the use of agents.

Unlike traditional paradigms, agents exhibit the property of being autonomous and interactive. Coupled with mobility, they are capable of performing dynamic and intelligent inference tasks during their execution. Utilizing a framework based on the mobile agent paradigm provided for a higher degree of flexibility by allowing applications to dynamically adapt to the changing demands of their execution environments.
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